

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES REPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: OMANGSIOTER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box No.0 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,558	02/25/2002	Kevin Scott Smith	UNCC#2001-001/46872.26008 3190	
7590 10/31/2005			EXAMINER	
John C. Alemanni			RAO, SHEELA S	
Kilpatrick Stockton LLP 1001 West Fourth Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Winston-Salem, NC 27101-2410			2125	
		DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/082,558	SMITH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sheela Rao	2125		

	Sneela Rao	2125					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 26 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS .	but mains to the slate of filings a bai	.f					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in below</li> </ul>	· ·	oducina or cimplifyina	the issues for				
appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amondment	(DTOL 224)				
<ul><li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li><li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li></ul>		omphant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		e timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).	the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .	•						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8	ut before or on the date of filling a	Notice of Appeal will r	at he entered				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	ne date of filing a brief	will not be				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11 CT The second for the second secon							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
$\frac{1}{2}$							
Q-1-1	LEO PICARD						
•	SUPERVISORY PATENT E	<b>AMINER</b>					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Application No.

The addition of the using the optimal machine element requires a new search and further consideration as this limitation requires usage of the machine which is more than identifying a machine as previously claimed.